



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/548,883	04/13/2000	Michael I. Watkins	2558B-061300US	7641	
7590 05/24/2005			EXAMINER		
M. HENRY F		GABEL, GAILENE			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND CREW LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER, 8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			1641		
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/548,883	WATKINS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gailene R. Gabel	1641	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gailene R. Gabel	1641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 1 months from the mailing date of</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv</li> </ul>		a final raination, whichous	eric later In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	OWT NIHTIW O
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ly reduce any
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	icated alaims	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·	jecieu ciaims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		!:t A	(DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompilani Amendmeni	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		4'	41;
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <i>NONE</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>6</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>o</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-22 and 26-28</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>23-25,29 and 30</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after 6	entry is below or attac	nea.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but claiming priority to a new application requires further control relation to the application's new priority date.	nt does NOT place the application in ensideration of new matter issues a	n condition for allowa and search for relevan	ince because: it prior art in
<ul><li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	
12. The other:			4 0

Christyl L. Ch.: CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN

CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 / 641 Brilere B. Dabel 5/19/05 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The priority of the application has been amended to include claiming the priority of ASN 09/302,920, filed 4/30/1999, now US Patent 6,280,618, which raises new issues that require consideration of new matter under the provisions of 35 USC 112, first paragraph, and search date requirements of prior art under the provisions of 35 USC 102 and/or 103.